1	Judge Richard A. Jones
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
7	AT SEATTLE
8 9 10 11	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff, Vs. ORDER GRANTING UNOPPOSED Which was a street of the property of th
13	
5	THE COURT having considered the unopposed motion of the parties to continue
6	the trial date and extend the due date of the pretrial motions, and the records and files
7	herein, the Court hereby makes the following findings:
8	1. The Court finds that a failure to grant the requested continuances would deny
9	counsel the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the
20	exercise of due diligence, within the meaning of 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(ii).
21	2. The Court further finds that the ends of justice will be served by ordering the
22	continuances in this case and that the continuances are necessary to ensure effective
23	trial preparation and that these factors outweigh the best interests of the defendant and
24	the public in a more speedy trial, within the meaning of 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A).
25	
26	

1	IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that Defendant's unopposed motion (Dkt. #19)
2	is GRANTED. The trial date in this matter is continued from February 22, 2016, to
3	July 25, 2016 at 9:00 a.m. All pretrial motions, including motions in limine, shall be
4	filed no later than June 9, 2016.
5	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the period of time from the date of this Order
6	through the new trial date of July 25, 2016, shall be excludable time pursuant to the
7	Speedy Trial Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3161, et seq.
8	DATED this 5th day of February, 2016.
9	Richard A Jones
10	
11	The Honorable Richard A. Jones United States District Judge
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	